UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,053	02/13/2002	Robert C. Stevens	11528/00002	8092
	7590 04/29/200 IS & WEST LLP	EXAMINER		
1150 HUNTING	GTON BUILDING	MEHTA, BHISMA		
925 EUCLID AVENUE CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1414			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/29/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@tuckerellis.com christopher.luoma@tuckerellis.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/075,053	STEVENS, ROBERT C.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	BHISMA MEHTA	3767			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a)      A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on	lailing or Transmission dated) month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); c	nendment which places the			
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See €		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-			
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.					
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8:         <ul> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was</li></ul></li></ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated			
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	he publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.				
<ul> <li>3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).</li> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> </ul>	•				
after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a representation)	entative capacity under 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere court review of the decision has expired and there are no		nd because the period for seeking			
7. The reason(s) below:					
Confirmation of abandonment of this case was give	n by Michael Hudzinski on April 2	3, 2009.			
/Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767	/Bhisma Mehta/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to			